# Decarbonisation of the Great Barrier Reef Islands Whole of Island Community Pilot Magnetic Island

Technical Appendix 2: Options Report

Prepared for the Queensland Department of Environment and Science & EarthCheck December 2020

arup.com





### Contents

SEC	SECTION			
Executive summary				
1.0	1.0 Project overview			
2.0	Options assessment methodology	8		
3.0	Assumptions and drivers	16		
4.0	0 Next steps			
Appendices				

### ARUP

For further information, please contact:

Kellie Charlesworth | Associate Ian Hustwick | Senior Consultant Susie Mills | Senior Economist

Level 4, 108 Wickham Street Fortitude Valley, QLD 4006 GPO Box 685 Brisbane QLD 4001

t +61 7 3023 6000

arup.com



### Decarbonisation of the Great Barrier Reef Islands: Whole of Island Community Pilot for Magnetic Island

### Executive summary

Arup have partnered with EarthCheck, Queensland Tourism Industry Council (QTIC) and Regional Economic Solutions (RES) to assist the Department of Environment **PHASE 2 - OPTION ASSESSMENT APPROACH** and Science (DES) to identify genuine decarbonisation and resilience (i.e. selfsufficiency) opportunities as part of Whole of Island Community Pilot which A longlist of (87) options was developed for Magnetic Island through a process of includes Magnetic Island. These project objectives are in alignment and support of community consultation and engagement with stakeholder organisations (Project the wider Queensland Climate Change Response (2017). Phase 1). This process was led by EarthCheck, with support from the project team.

The project is comprised of four distinct phases. This interim report focuses on Phase The longlist options were appraised through the options assessment process (Project 2: Dreaming Big- Options Longlist, specifically the methodology employed to arrive Phase 2), which was led by Arup with input from the wider project team. The options at a shortlist of options to take to Phase 3: Which way now? – Final Project Option assessment has been informed by community and stakeholder consultations, development technical workshops, desktop review and the Sustainability Assessment.



## ARUP

The intent of the Phase 2 assessment was to arrive at a shortlist of credible, community and stakeholder-led options to reduce carbon emissions and promote island community resilience (self-sufficiency), in line with the project objectives.

Shortlisted options were required to be considered achievable for implementation within the next 5-10 years and to perform well against a weighted set of social, economic and environmental criteria (multi-criteria assessment).

#### **PHASE 2 - OUTCOMES AND NEXT STEPS**

The final shortlisted options (18 for Magnetic Island) progress to Phase 3 – final project option development phase.

Options that did not progress to Phase 3 will be recorded in the final report prepared by EarthCheck.



3

## 1.0 Project overview



### 1.0 Project overview

### **1.1 SCOPE**

Arup have partnered with EarthCheck, Regional Economic Solutions (RES) and Queensland The diagram to the right presents the overarching project phases. The approach underpinning Tourism Industry Council (QTIC) to assist the Department of Environment and Science the options assessment (Phase 2) is subsequently discussed in detail in this report. (DES) to identify genuine decarbonisation and resilience (i.e. the promotion of selfsufficiency) opportunities as part of Whole of Island Community Pilot for Magnetic Island.

The project is comprised of four distinct phases. This interim report focuses on Phase 2: Dreaming Big – Options shortlisting, specifically the methodology employed to arrive at a shortlist of options to take to final project option.

Community and stakeholder engagement was led by Earthcheck and RES for each of the four phases, and consisted of both in-person engagement on-island, community operations group meetings and individual phone and videoconference conversations with stakeholders.

### **1.2 PROJECT PHASES**

The project can be divided into four phases of engagement and works. These are:

Phase 1: Sustainability assessment and option longlist

Phase 2: Option shortlisting (focus of this report)

Phase 3: Final project option development

Phase 4: Final project option handover to community (all phases and includes final project options)





## 1.0 Project overview cont.

Phase 1: The first phase of work involved community and stakeholder consultation, dat gathering and the development of Sustainability Assessment Reports, a process led by EarthCheck. This process resulted in a longlist of options sourced from the community key stakeholders supplemented with input from the project team where appropriate. Opt were categorised according to theme:

- 1. Energy (Generation & Efficiency)
- 2. Water
- 3. Waste
- 4. Transport
- 5. Resilience

Second-round community consultation was undertaken to present the findings of the baseline Sustainability Assessment to test and further scope the longlist options with the community by the project team. From this, additional options were identified and included within the longlist. Feedback from community members was received via face-to-face discussion through workshops and drop-in sessions, as well as via an online survey (for Magnetic Island). In addition, technical workshops were held with core State Government agencies to further scope and test the feasibility of options, and align with other government funded initiatives where relevant.

The feedback from community, key stakeholders and government agency consultations was documented by the project team, collated and analysed in a process led by EarthCheck. This information was used to update the final options longlist. The longlist for Magnetic Island comprised 87 options. Refer to Appendix A.

### ARUP

ta	Phase 2: (focus of this report) The second phase of work involved the option shortlisting
	whereby an options assessment methodology was applied to filter down the Phase 1 longlist
and	to a shortlist of options (maximum of 30) for progression to final project option.
tions	

The options assessment undertaken by the project team has been informed by community consultations, technical workshops, desktop review and the Sustainability Assessments.

The final shortlist of options to be taken forward for final project option are those options which:

- Have potential to lower carbon emissions and/or promote island resilience/selfsufficiency; and
- Have the support of community and key stakeholders; and
- Have a positive potential impact upon economic, social and environmental outcomes; and
- Do not replicate or detract from other initiatives already underway on the Islands

The outcome of the assessment is that 20 options will progress to final project option for Magnetic Island.

#### **NEXT STEPS**

**Phase 3:** In this phase final project options are to be developed by Arup with input from the project team and community/stakeholder engagement for up to 30 of the highest scoring options. For Magnetic Island- 20 options will progress to final project option.

**Phase 4:** In the final phase of works, reporting will be finalised and the final project options 6 handed over to the community / key stakeholders. This phase will be led by EarthCheck.



# 2.0 Options assessment methodology



### 2.0 Options assessment 2.1 Overview

#### **DEVELOPMENT OF APPROACH**

The development of the options assessment approach was undertaken by Arup in an iterative and collaborative manner with the wider project team. The assessment has been informed by community and stakeholder consultations, technical workshops, desktop review and the Sustainability Assessments.

The intent of the Phase 2 assessment was to provide a consistent and robust approach to appraising the longlist of options to arrive at a shortlist of options to proceed to Phase 3.

The longlist options generated by the Phase 1 engagement process were highly variable in scope, function, outcome, complexity and topic, recognising the wide range of sustainability opportunities on the Island.

It was agreed that shortlisted options for final project option are to be credible, community and stakeholder-led options to reduce carbon emissions and promote island community resilience (self-sufficiency), in line with the project objectives.

It is recognised that for the Community Pilot Project, the support of the community and key stakeholders is critical for an option to be considered and to potentially progress to final project option.

and/or environmental perspective.

### ARUP

It was also agreed that shortlisted options be required to be considered achievable for implementation within the next 5-10 years; not duplicate or negatively impact other initiatives already underway on the Island; and consider impacts (positive and negative) from a social/cultural, economic

To capture these requirements, a 'gateway' approach to options filtering was developed as the basis of the assessment. This integrates three discrete appraisal processes (or 'gates').

> Gate 1. Alignment with project objectives Gate 2. Achievability Gate 3. Multi-criteria analysis

Each gate is described in detail in the following section.



### 2.0 Options assessment 2.1 Overview

#### **DETAILED METHODOLOGY**

The options assessment process employs a 'gateway' approach to arrive at an options shortlist. This approach is as follows:

- 1. Gate 1: Project objectives considers the alignment of options with key project objectives, resulting in a pass/fail score for each option:
  - a) Decarbonisation potential; and/or b) Contribution to community resilience /selfsufficiency; and c) Community and key stakeholder support

Firstly, options are appraised to determine if they have carbon abatement potential and/or the ability to contribute to island resilience and self-sufficiency. (Note: the definition for community resilience / self-sufficiency is provided in the next section).

Options were then assessed by whether or not they were generally supported by the community.. The views of the community and key stakeholders were also sought to better understand the potential viability of the options,

and other pertinent contextual information. Key stakeholders included Council, Ergon Energy, Project Operational Working Group, Community Groups and similar groups with a direct interest. This process was informed by desktop research, and the stakeholder and community consultation sessions both on-island and in meetings with the project team.

Options not meeting these requirements were not progressed to Gate 2.

technologies.

In addition, it considers the alignment of options with other existing or planned initiatives known to be implemented on an island. Where an option contradicts, negates or otherwise does not support these initiatives, professional judgement is employed to determine if the option should be progressed.

## ARUP

2. Gate 2: Achievability introduces an intuitive logic test. Each option is considered according to whether or not there may exist prohibitive constraints to its successful implementation. Such issues include consideration of physical availability of space, supply chain maturity, or technological feasibility/market readiness of key

3. Gate 3: Multi-criteria analysis (MCA) enables the relative comparison of option performance against key environmental, social and economic criteria. Options were scored against weighted criteria relating to economic opportunity, livability and wellbeing, protection of cultural and natural heritage, and environmental protection. The top scored options were to progress through to the shortlist for final project options (up to maximum of 30 options). Option criteria and weightings were developed by Arup, and reviewed by the wider project team. Final MCA criteria and weightings are provided in Appendix B.

Recommendations and Discounted Options: Options which did not progress to the shortlist were collated, summarised and documented for reference purposes within the final report. Those identified through consultation with strong merit, but did otherwise not fit within the scope and bounds of the project were documented as 'recommendations'.

The options assessment gateway process is presented in the figure overleaf.

9

### 2.0 Options assessment 2.1 Overview



NO

Options not selected for final project option development at this time have been recorded in final project report (EarthCheck)

## ARUP

### Gate 2 Achievability

#### **ACHIEVABILITY**

- Is option genuinely feasible on island within 5-10
- Is option compatible with outcomes of other projects planned on island (and noting that at minimum it should not detract from or duplicate other initiatives)?

NO

### Gate 3 Multi-criteria analysis

#### **OPTION RANKING**

How well do options perform against important criteria?

- Economic development
- Social development & culture
- Environmental protection

NO

#### SHORTLIST

Options to proceed to projects.

**RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISCOUNTED OPTIONS** 



10

### 2.0 Options assessment 2.2 Gateway approach: Gate 1

Gate 1 provides an initial, high-level screening to ensure options align with the project objectives and intended outcomes.

#### GATE 1A: PROJECT OBJECTIVE - DECARBONISATION & RESILIENCE

The ability of the option to reduce baseline carbon and other greenhouse gas emissions The community and key stakeholders were consulted in the development of longlisted was determined qualitatively at a high level (yes/no). This assessment was made options during the first project team site visits. An additional round of community input through consideration of both direct and indirect emissions. This includes: and feedback was sought on options through the second site visits. Here, the opportunities where the emission sources were generated on-island; where emission community and stakeholders were able to indicate their level of support for options sources were not owned or controlled directly by the Island's businesses or residents through conversations with the project team and via surveys. (e.g. commercial transport to/from island); or where emissions were generated off-site such as connected mainland electricity<sup>2</sup>.

In addition, options were assessed according to their potential to improve Island selfsufficiency and community resilience to severe weather and the effects of climate change.

For the purpose of this project, self-sufficiency has been defined as: A form of resilience which enables the community to reduce reliance on the mainland for important goods and services, particularly in the context of severe weather events, pandemic and climate change (includes stand-alone systems)

The ability of the option to contribute to self-sufficiency in this respect was determined qualitatively at a high level (*yes/no*).

## ARUP

#### GATE 1B: COMMUNITY AND KEY STAKEHOLDER SUPPORT

As finalised final project options will be handed back to the community and/or key stakeholders (such as Councils, business and utility providers) to champion next steps, support is vital for the successful outcomes of the project.

The project team also engaged with Operational Working Groups throughout the duration of the project. Views and feedback received by these group members was also documented and will be considered throughout the options assessment process.

Where there is deemed to be insufficient or inconclusive information regarding the extent of community and stakeholder support, professional judgement was employed by the project team.

#### GATE 1 SUMMARY

Options which were deemed to have either a decarbonisation and/or self-sufficiency benefit and community and stakeholder support were progressed to Gate 2.



<sup>1.</sup> This approach broadly aligns with NGER Framework emission scope classifications.

### 2.0 Options assessment 2.3 Gateway approach: Gate 2

#### **GATE 2: ACHIEVABILITY**

Options progressing through the Gate 1 assessment were then tested against key viability constraints. This approach recognised that whilst an option has merit, there may exist significant constraints which ultimately make it untenable. Considerations included:

#### 1. Compatibility with other initiatives/programs occurring on or planned for the island

It is acknowledged that a range of existing and planned initiatives are, or will be implemented on island through programs led by other organisations or government agencies. Such initiatives may include changes to policy, infrastructure development projects, service changes and other investments. It is critical that the options taken to final project option do not:

- a) Unnecessarily duplicate efforts; or
- Conflict with the objectives of, or negate the **b**)

intended outcomes of other initiatives. However, it should be noted that where an initiative may have improved the sustainability outcomes of an existing or planned project, this was investigated.

Each longlisted option was therefore considered within this context. This process was informed by desktop research and stakeholder and community consultation sessions, both on-island and in meetings with the project team. The professional knowledge held by the project team regarding existing and planned State Government initiatives also informed this process.

### 2. Timeframes

It is preferable that shortlisted options are those which GATE 2 SUMMARY can be effectively implemented within up to a maximum Options for which a significant constraint as outlined 5-10 year timeframe. This may occur where, for above was identified did not progress through Gate 2. instance, the option incorporates untested technology The outputs of the Gate 2 assessment can be seen in which is not market-ready, or where critical supply Appendix C. chains are underdeveloped or non-existent.

## ARUP

#### 3. Feasibility

Where the successful implementation of an option requires excessive and unacceptable physical resource use it is not considered feasible. For instance, an option which requires more land than is physically or practically available on the island would not be feasible.

Similarly, an option will not be feasible where the conditions or resources required to successfully build, implement, or operate it are unavailable or non-existent. However, the absence of required local expertise was not necessarily considered a barrier, as the project also seeks to build capacity and capability.



### 2.0 Options assessment 2.4 Gateway approach Gate 3

#### GATE 3: MULTI-CRITERIA ANALYSIS

Options which progressed through Gate 2 were then analysed through a MCA. Here, the relative performance of options were compared, according to weighted economic, social and environmental criteria. Criteria were developed to reflect core project objectives which fell into the three broad objective categories of:

- Economic development
- Social development & culture
- Environmental protection

The intention of an MCA is to objectively assess each option's merit in achieving positive outcomes in line with these criteria, and with community expectations.

It was recognised that there are numerous criteria of importance to the community. However, the number of criteria considered in the MCA needs to be carefully considered. As more criteria are included in an MCA,

their respective weightings become lower, diluting the value of the assessment.

The economic development criteria reflected the importance of enhancing economic opportunity and associated issues such as job creation and capacity building.

It was also acknowledged that the objectives of economic growth, for instance, can at times be in conflict with social and environmental outcomes. In line with project objectives, criteria were included to recognise the imperative of ensuring the ongoing protection, celebration and empowerment of the community, as well as acknowledging their environmentally sensitive location.

Each criterion was assigned a weighting with the intention of reflecting a balanced and sustainable basis for development.

## ARUP

Each option was then assigned a score on a linear scale (1 to 5) to indicate its relative performance against a criterion. 5 represented the highest attainable positive score against a given criterion, whilst 3 was neutral and 1 was poor. Refer to Appendix B.

The weighted average score for each option was then calculated, enabling options to be ranked. As previously outlined, if there were in excess of 30 options, only the 30 which had the highest rankings would progress to the shortlist for final project option.

Please refer to Appendix B for the MCA assessment criteria and weightings.



### 2.0 Options assessment 2.5 Final Shortlist

#### **FINAL OPTIONS SHORTLIST**

The output of the options assessment was the final shortlist of options to be taken forward to final project option.

As noted previously, care was taken where possible to promote a balanced representation of top-performing options in each of the six key themes of Energy Generation, Energy Efficiency, Water, Waste, Transport and Resilience.

The final options shortlist can be found in Appendix D.



## 3.0 Assumptions and drivers



## 3.0 Assumptions and drivers

#### **OPTIONS ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY**

A bespoke approach was taken in the development of the options assessment methodology. It was developed collaboratively and in consideration of a range of key drivers and desired outcomes of DES and the community.

- The project is underpinned by a desire for stakeholder /community-led, and communitysupported outcomes. The longlist and the options assessment process have endeavored to reflect this value.
- The options longlisting process was led by EarthCheck, in consultation with DES, RES, QTIC and Arup, based primarily upon community and stakeholder consultation findings and the outputs of the Sustainability Assessments from project Phase 1.
- The options assessment methodology is a bespoke process reflecting the value of community involvement, and reflecting the diverse nature of

longlisted options. The methodology was developed iteratively, and in collaboration with the project team - DES, RES, QTIC and EarthCheck, as well as with feedback from the stakeholders and community during the second round of Island visits and engagement.

support.

#### CONTEXT AND LIMITATIONS

It must be noted that there exist limitations to this analysis of strategic options.

Imperfect information is a key limitation; at the strategic level there are always many unknowns and reasonable assumptions must be developed.

Examples of unknowns include the expected demand for a service; size and scalability of an initiative; maturity of supply chains; site conditions and technical

### ARUP

• The methodology was developed in recognition of the project objectives of decarbonisation, selfsufficiency and for community and stakeholder

feasibility; and cost.

Options were assessed robustly according to the outlined methodology, however, this must be understood within the context of unknowns and uncertainties.

Reasonable assumptions were developed as a basis for assessing the potential scope, impact and merits of each option and best professional judgement was employed in drawing conclusions.



## 4.0 Next steps



## 4.0 Next steps

#### 4.1 FINAL PROJECT OPTION DEVELOPMENT

The output of the options assessment process is a shortlist of options to be taken forward to final project option.

It should be noted that for the purposes of this project, 'final project options' are:

- short summary documents to describe the potential scope, benefits (carbon and/or resilience/self sufficiency), co-benefits such as job creation, as well as challenges and risks associated with the identified opportunities. The final project options are based on high level qualitative assessments and assumptions using information available to the project team at the time. Where feasible and robust, quantified benefits are included.
- intended to support stakeholders and community in applications for grant funding to progress next steps in project development, such as undertaking feasibility studies. Consideration of potential funding sources is also included in the final project options.

Final project options are developed for the purpose of being handed over to the stakeholder and/or community to champion and progress next steps.

#### 4.2 COMMUNITY CONSULTATION AND FINALISATION

The project team will return to the island to engage with the community and key stakeholders on the draft final project options.

Feedback will be sought to confirm any gaps in the project team's understanding. Feedback will be documented and used to inform final project option finalisation.

### ARUP

The final reporting for the project will be led by EarthCheck with support from the project team.

• it should be noted these are not detailed final project options, and are not suitable for investment decisions to be made upon. Further assessment of feasibility, design, planning, cost and benefits etc. is required before progressing. It is envisaged that grant funding will support the progression of options from opportunities to an investment ready project.



# Appendix A

**Options** longlist

arup.com



#### LONGLIST

The longlist options identified by the community, key stakeholders and the project team are outlined in the table below (as provided to Arup by EarthCheck). These are grouped according to theme.

Theme	ID	Title	Description
Energy	<b>E1</b>	LED cells in Council-owned streetlights	Replacement of all bulbs from
Energy	<b>E2</b>	Pilot research trial for renewable fuels from cooking oil or biomass	Research into understanding on-island.
Energy	<b>E3</b>	Fuel cells using natural gas for energy generation	Investigation to understand reliance on grid electricity.
Energy	<b>E4</b>	Heat recovery from compost at waste transfer station	Feasibility study to understa electricity to offset the insta
Energy	E5	Methane capture from upgraded STP to flare	Understanding how much m generate electricity using an
Energy	<b>E6</b>	Install rooftop solar PV with battery support	Investigating the feasible ro off-set the reliance and redu
Energy	<b>E7</b>	Solar powered A/C with no grid return for commercial systems	Investigating the feasibility systems, to offset the usage
Energy	<b>E8</b>	Tidal or wave generators	Investigating the feasibility generated energy from these
Energy	<b>E9</b>	Waste to energy plant with gas boost	Investigation the feasibility supplement the processing of the pro
Energy	<b>E10</b>	Wind turbines offshore	Investigating the feasibility energy from these systems,
Energy	<b>E11</b>	Increase solar panels installed on sea- going vessels	Installation of solar panels of amount of fuel used for tran
Energy	E12	Community owned or partially owned microgrid	Feasibility study investigation much energy would be offse

### ARUP

om Council-owned streetlights with LED bulbs, to reduce energy consumption.

g the application of using cooking oil, biomass or alternative renewable fuels, to generate energy

if the application of fuel cells using natural gas to generate energy on-island is feasible, reducing

and if capturing heat from compost at the waste transfer station on-island would generate enough allation of the technology.

nethane could be captured from the sewage treatment plants on-island and flaring the gas to naerobic digester or a covered anaerobic lagoon, offsetting usage from the grid.

of-space on-island for installation of solar panels supporting by battery systems for residents, to ice the demand on grid electricity.

of replacing commercial air conditioning systems on-island with solar powered air conditioning of electricity from the grid.

for installation of tidal or wave generated renewable energy on-island and the potential of e systems, to reduce the demand on grid electricity.

of using waste to energy plant to generate renewable energy, with a boost of natural gas to of the waste

for installation of large or small wind turbine off-shore of the island, and the potential of generated to reduce the demand on grid electricity.

on sea-going vessels to the island, such as SeaLink ferries and Magnetic Island Ferries, to offset the nsportation to the island.

ing what a community owned or partially owned microgrid would look like on the Island and how et through generating hydrogen energy.





#### LONGLIST

Theme	ID	Title	Description
Energy	<b>E13</b>	Adopt best practice building code for island	Creation of a best practice b energy efficient buildings.
Energy	<b>E14</b>	Cluster purchase of small-scale energy control systems	Implementation of a cost-ef
Energy	E15	Energy data loggers for energy efficiency education	Implementation of energy d premises. An education eler consumption.
Energy	E16	Energy efficiency education for locals and visitors	Educational programs for vi ways to reduce consumption
Energy	E17	Existing building improvements	Audit of all existing residen and recommendations on the reflective paint and gutter g
Energy	<b>E18</b>	LED uptake enhancing incentive scheme	Incentive scheme for resider consumption.
Energy	E19	Central control system for accommodation providers on-island	All accommodation provide manage energy consumption
Energy	E20	Ground source heat pumps	Installation of business and energy efficient way, using
Energy	<b>E21</b>	Upgrade to high efficiency AC units and refrigeration units	Undertake an audit of all rest cost benefit analysis for upg
Energy	E22	Upgrades to solar hot water system	Feasibility study to investig grid.
Energy	E23	New rooftop solar systems with battery storage	Uptake of new solar rooftop without solar that meet requisiand.
Energy	E24	Magnetic Island Hydrogen Economy Pilot	Small scale feasibility study produce green hydrogen fro

### ARUP

building code, specific to the Island for all new builds to adhere to, in order to ensure the most

fective buying method, of small-scale energy control systems to monitor energy usage and demand

lata loggers at large commercial sites on the Island to better understand energy consumption at ment would be included to provide advice to businesses how to effectively reduce their

isitors and residents on-island on how to efficiently manage their energy consumption and simple n/bills, such as encouraging visitors to take longer stays to reduce their footprint.

ntial and commercial properties on-island to understand current energy efficiencies the retrofit actions required to reduce consumption, such as air flow, insulation, glazing, heat guards.

ential and commercial properties to retrofit all lights on the island to LEDs, to reduce energy

ers, where applicable, would retrofit buildings to use Central Control Systems, to effectively n and demand across the property remotely.

residential ground source heat pumps to pump water from the water table in a renewable and the temperature of the ground

sidential and commercial air conditioning units on-island and commercial refrigeration to perform a grading systems to more efficient systems, to reduce energy consumption.

ate the upgrading of residential electric hot water systems to solar, to reduce the demand on the

p systems with integrated battery storage for any residential or commercial properties currently airements and the energy is shared to peers within close proximity, rather than fed into the grid on-

y for the development of a hydrogen economy pilot including a demonstration size electrolyser to om a local water supply and refuelling stations, to reduce reliance on fossil fuels.



#### LONGLIST

Theme	ID	Title	Description
Water	WT1	Ground water extraction via windmill	Feasibility study to investig and how much the extractio
Water	WT2	Water efficiency education	Educational programs for recommunications at commun
Water	WT3	Planting climate adapted plant and grass varieties	Planting of climate adapted resilience.
Water	WT4	Stormwater management	Feasibility study to understa stormwater run-off which c
Water	WT5	Variable Speed Drivers for water pumps	Variable Speed Drives for w
Water	<b>WT6</b>	Water Tanks / Water smart package	Encourage the update of mo water supplied to the island
Water	WT7	Water storage dam	Installation of a dam on-isla irrigation on-island.
Waste	WS1	Increase buying of Bioplastic/paper disposable items	Encouraging businesses, loc Purchasing or Plastic Free F with transportation of the w
Waste	WS2	Glass crusher	Installation of whole-of-isla the crushed glass in cement landfill, and the costs and en
Waste	WS3	Green waste collection bins	Addition of a green waste b station on-island, to increas enzymes for mulch product
Waste	WS4	Phase out single use items	Encouraging businesses, loo waste generated and associa through schemes such as Pl
Waste	WS5	Reduce packaging for shipments to Island	Encouraging businesses to a and associated carbon emiss

### ARUP

gate the plausibility of ground water extraction via windmill, to provide potable water to the island on could offset reliance on the mains water supply to the island from Townsville.

esidents and visitors, including how to recycle grey water at the residential level and increasing nity meetings.

plant and grass species for Council gardens or as a requirement of new builds, to increase island

and storm water run-off and establish a catchment management plan for the island, to prevent currently goes directly into the ocean without filtration or catchment integrity.

vater pumps to distribute water around the island, thus reducing energy demand on the island.

ore water efficient devises or installation of water tanks on-island, to reduce demand on potable from Townsville.

and to capture rainwater or run-off which could be treated on-island for potable water, or used for

cals and visitors to reduce the amount of single-use plastics used on the island through Cluster Places schemes, to reduce the total waste generated, but also reduce the associated carbon emissions vaste off island.

and glass crusher on-island, which could take the majority of glasses disposed of on-island and use , gardens or sand bank rehabilitation. It would reduce the amount of waste sent for recycling or missions associated with removal of this heavy and space intensive material.

oin and collection every fortnight, removing residents' green waste and storing at the waste transfer e existing scheme capacity, reduce the size of current roadside bins and reduce emissions by using ion rather than the usual aerobic decomposition process.

cals and visitors to reduce the amount of single-use plastics, such as straws and cups, to reduce total ated carbon emissions with transportation of the goods on to the island and off Island as waste, lastic Free Places.

reduce the amount of packaging brought onto or used on the island, to reduce total waste generated sions with transportation of the goods on to the island and off Island as waste.



#### LONGLIST

Theme	ID	Title	Description
Waste	WS6	Waste reduction education	Educational programs and n sent to landfill.
Waste	<b>WS7</b>	Biosolids reuse as compost or fertiliser	Utilisation of biosolids as co
Waste	<b>WS8</b>	Motor home sewage dump facilities	Installation of motor home s
Waste	WS9	Rotary table for sorting recycling materials	Installation of a rotary table a higher recovery rate and re
Waste	<b>WS10</b>	Upgrade of sewage treatment plant, offset with solar	Upgrade of the sewage treat addition to offsetting the addition to offsetting the addition.
Waste	WS11	Ban plastic bottle sales at cafes/ bars/ restaurants	Reduction or a complete bar alternatives and to empower
Waste	WS12	Surcharge for using takeaway coffee cups	To eliminate or discourage u bring their own cups or biod
Waste	WS13	Sewage facilities for yachts/boats	Development of sewage dis
Waste	WS14	Plastic repurposing	Plastic collection and repurp segmentation to reduce plas
Transport	T1	Alternative fuels for boats	Feasibility assessment of alt fuels.
Transport	T2	Education on vehicle efficiency and marine use	Educational programs for co including interpretation mat
Transport	Т3	Efficient boat propellers upgrades	Upgrade of commercial wat
Transport	<b>T4</b>	Efficient boat coatings	Implementation of boat coat
Transport	<b>T5</b>	Electric bicycle rental/purchasing scheme	Development of an electric infrastructure, to provide a r
Transport	<b>T6</b>	Reducing speed limit from 60km/h to 50km/h or 40km/hr	Strategy development and e safety and protection of the

### ARUP

naterials on waste management for residents and visitors, including how to reduce amount of waste

ompost or fertiliser to be used on gardens and landscaping, to promote environmental health.

sewage dump facilities on-island, such as bio-cycle systems to reduce dumping of waste.

e at the waste transfer facility to enable more effective and efficient sorting of recyclables, leading to resulting in less pollution on the island.

tment plant at Picnic Bay as it is at capacity would be required to cater to a growing population, in ditional energy usage with solar panels (and possible battery integration) would provide a carbon

n of single-use plastic items, specifically plastic bottles, at hospitality businesses by switching to r the community to make lasting changes towards sustainability.

use of takeaway coffee cups, a surcharge for using these cups, a reverse discount for those who degradable options would be implemented in restaurants and cafes.

sposal facilities for watercraft such as yachts and boats, to reduce marine pollution.

posing by small industry island business to make products from recycled outputs, including waste stic pollution.

ternative fuels for marine operators, to reduce emissions from transportation and reliance on fossil

ommunity and businesses on personal and commercial, land and sea vehicle efficiency and use, terials for alternative transport modes.

tercraft propellers for fuel efficiency and to reduce emissions associated with marine transport.

tings for fuel efficiency on commercial watercraft.

bicycle rental or purchasing scheme for residents and visitors including charging point reduced emissions transport solution while increasing connectivity between hubs.

enforcement of reduced island speed limits from 60km/hr to 50km/hr or 40km/hr for community Island's wildlife.



#### LONGLIST

Theme	ID	Title	Description
Transport	<b>T7</b>	Solar powered speeding signs	Installation of speeding sign consumption and address sa
Transport	<b>T8</b>	Driverless vehicles	Implementation of driverles to hire a car or take public t
Transport	<b>T9</b>	Alternative energy for marine vehicles	Feasibility assessment of all components, for marine ope
Transport	<b>T10</b>	Electric island shuttle buses	Replacing large buses curre installation of electric charg
Transport	T11	Construction of continuous path networks	Construction of continuous infrastructure needed and to
Transport	T12	Infrastructure to support fuel efficient vehicles	Upgrade and installation of hydrogen power including p
Transport	T13	Infrastructure upgrade (roads)	Upgrading the current road reducing fuel consumption.
Transport	T14	Solar powered A/C on boats as a standalone upgrade	Upgrade of air-conditioning
Transport	T15	Bridge from mainland	Feasibility assessment for the reduce marine transport emitted
Transport	<b>T16</b>	Ban motor homes on island	Feasibility assessment and s emissions.
Transport	<b>T17</b>	Reduce number of car hire businesses	Feasibility study for the red emissions associated with c
Transport	<b>T18</b>	Car share scheme for local community	Community-led scheme for which aims to reduce costs
Transport	<b>T19</b>	Incentive schemes to reduce number of vehicles used on-island	Potential implementation of emission free public transpo

### ARUP

ns including identification of speed-prone areas supplemented with solar power, to reduce energy afety concerns.

ss vehicles that would act as a taxi/shuttle service on the Island, would reduce the need for visitors transport, thus lowering the overall footprint of the island.

ternative energy commercial watercrafts such as solar ferries, electric boats or solar powered erators to reduce emissions from transportation and reliance on fossil fuels.

ently on the island with a low emission alternative such as electric island shuttle buses including ging stations and infrastructure, under a direct rapid transport scheme.

path networks such as footpaths and cycling lanes, to support active travel including any promote a healthier lifestyle while reducing the Island's carbon footprint.

infrastructure to support fuel efficient vehicles such as electric charging stations and biodiesel or promotion of finance sources or existing subsidies.

infrastructure on-island to address road quality and surface roughness issues, with the aim of

gunits to solar power on commercial watercrafts, to reduce reliance on energy and fossil fuels.

he construction of a bridge from the Island to mainland, to support local and visitor traffic and to issions.

strategy to reduce the number or ban motor homes accessing the Island, to reduce transport

luction of car hire businesses on-island, to consequently reduce the number of cars and therefore the car use.

residents to reduce vehicles on the road by carpooling by bay or sharing barge transfers/costs and emissions.

f congestion charges, specific island fuel tax or entrance fees with all revenues redirected to ort.



#### LONGLIST

Theme	ID	Title	Description
Transport	<b>T20</b>	Electric tram/train tunnel	Feasibility investigation of e transportation. Tunnel woul minutes and potential to also
Transport	<b>T21</b>	Change bus fuel to natural gas	Replacement of existing pull reliance on fossil fuels.
Transport	T22	Courier service for luggage for visitors	Implementation of a courier deliver it to the accommoda
Resilience	R1	Support for tourism businesses to achieve eco-accreditation	Development of appropriate eco-accreditation, which wi tourism of the island.
Resilience	R2	Creek clean up	Frequent community-led ev waterways.
Resilience	<b>R3</b>	Plant Cyclone rated plant species	Wide-spread planting of cycas cyclones.
Resilience	R4	Cyclone rating assessment	Assessments conducted by a identify buildings that may
Resilience	R5	Feral/invasive animal management plan	Community-led development cane toads, pigs and goats, o
Resilience	<b>R6</b>	Gutter guards	Installation of gutter guards
Resilience	<b>R7</b>	Mosquito screens	Installation of mosquito scre ventilation, reducing reliance
Resilience	<b>R8</b>	Nature walking tracks	Construction and maintenan environmental care to visito
Resilience	<b>R9</b>	Ongoing management of islander wellbeing	A study to look at ways to e resilient community.
Resilience	<b>R10</b>	Overall beach erosion plan	Development of a overall be

### ARUP

electric tram or trains efficiency compared to a diesel or petrol powered bus, as a form of Island ld be from Nelly Bay Terminal to HSB with a stop at Arcadia, with services running every 15 o be used as a cyclone shelter.

blic transport services on the Island to be fuelled by natural gas, rather than diesel, to reduce

r service that greets the passenger and vehicle ferries, to collect the visitor's luggage, which will ation of the visitor, aiming to reduce the number of hire cars on island.

e programs and materials to support funding and guidance for local tourism businesses in achieving ill support the Island's tourism "green image" and encourage more responsible and sustainable

vents for cleaning up and picking up waste from the creek system, to reduce pollution entering

clone rated plant species to improve environmental health when faced with severe wind events such

an authorised business to develop cyclone ratings for residential and commercial buildings, to help need to be upgraded to suit climate conditions.

nt of a feral/invasive animals management plant to reduce the populations of species such as cats, or banning domestic cats, which will improve environmental health on the island.

on residential and commercial roof space to reduce the risk during bushfire season.

eens on residential properties to encourage residents to leave doors and windows open for natural ce on air-conditioning systems and reducing energy consumption.

nce of nature walking tracks to support a healthy and active lifestyle for residents and to promote ors.

encourage ongoing mental and physical wellbeing of island residents to ensure a happy, healthy and

each and erosion plan, to create a more resilience coastline to storm surges.



#### LONGLIST

Theme	ID	Title	Description
Resilience	R11	Revegetation	A study to investigate the menvironment, mangrove and sequestration.
Resilience	R12	Visitor number restrictions and ongoing management	Research for a feasible carr ongoing management.
Resilience	R13	Whole of Island resilience plan	Resilience plan developed we the community and the environment
Resilience	R14	Aquaculture or oyster farm	Establishment of an aquacu the island.
Resilience	R15	On-island food production	Feasibility assessment of the including a community gard sufficiency.
Resilience	R16	Cyclone shelter	Feasibility assessment for the also be used as a communit
Resilience	R17	Aged care facility	Investigation of the construction cared for in a facility, therefore
Resilience	<b>R18</b>	Ongoing coastal clean-ups	Frequent community-led ev waterways.
Resilience	R19	Permanent Indigenous rangers for the establishment of traditional environmental	Development of permanent management systems includ
Resilience	<b>R20</b>	Traditional owner native nursery	Establishment of a native p City Council supplier contr Traditional Owner knowled

### ARUP

nost effective way to encourage revegetation on-island with respect to coral, dune grass, terrestrial d seagrasses, to ensure a resilience ecosystem on-island, including the potential for carbon

rying capacity for the island including appropriate visitor number restrictions and strategies for

with the community to be applied across whole of Island, including ways to improve resilience for ironment.

Iture or oyster farm on-island, to encourage the local production of food, increasing resilience of

ne potential for an ecologically sensitive and sustainable food production industry on the island den, clams, seaweed, crayfish, oyster farm and trial aquaponic farm to promote food self-

he design and construction of a building suitable to be a cyclone shelter at Kelly Street, which can ty centre for events and shows, while increasing Island resilience.

iction of an aged-care facility on the Island, as currently residents need to leave the island to be fore this would create greater self-sufficiency.

vents for cleaning up and picking up waste from coastal ecosystems, to reduce pollution entering

Indigenous ranger roles in collaboration with community for the establishment of traditional fire ding weed and feral animal management.

lant nursery including infrastructure owned by Traditional Owners and supported by a Townsville ract to also be planted on the island, to benefit environmental health while celebrating and sharing lge.



# Appendix B

Gate 3: Multi-criteria analysis criteria and weightings

arup.com



## Appendix B | Multi-criteria analysis overview

#### PURPOSE

A Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA) is a decision-making tool which can be used to the table below. compare options that differ across several dimensions. It is typically used to assess aspects of options which cannot (or cannot easily) be quantified or monetised for The scoring descriptions provided are necessarily at a high level to manage the subjectivity of the scoring process. This is because an option could, in practice, conclusive comparison. It can be used as a filter to identify which options likely have the most merit (according to the defined criteria). This removes the need for timesupport a criterion in many different ways; too many possibilities exist to warrant excessive specificity. Subjectivity was sought to be minimised by the review and consuming and in-depth analysis which is not feasible at the strategic level. An MCA challenge of the wider project team. For example, an option may 'support economic was selected as the Gate 3 sifting mechanism for these reasons. opportunity' in a variety of ways (i.e. through job creation; enabling the development However, the nature of this project resulted in a longlist of options which are very of new services or creation of a new business; by increasing consumer or investor different to each other, making direct comparisons of merit more challenging. For confidence; by promoting tourism opportunities; by removing barriers to individuals' economic progression or wellbeing).

instance, a water resilience project differs in intent and function to an energy generation project. To ensure the MCA is meaningful in this context, criteria were developed to enable the assessment to focus on how well each option aligned with Arup's team delivered an individual score against each criterion for each project the core project objectives. The selected criteria are outlined on the next page. option, along with a statement of underlying rationale. These outputs were presented to the wider project team.

#### SCORING

The logic behind the scoring mechanism is demonstrated in the table below. However, it must be noted that limitations exist to this (and any other) analysis. Imperfect information is one; at the strategic level there are always many unknowns and reasonable assumptions must be developed. Examples of unknowns include the expected demand for a service; size and scalability of an initiative; maturity of supply chains; site conditions and technical feasibility; and cost. Scoring was undertaken within this context of uncertainty, using reasonable assumptions and be professional judgement.

## ARUP

Performance against each criterion was assessed on a scale of 1 to 5, as outlined in

Performance	Score
Option likely to generate a strong positive impact	5
Option likely to generate a positive impact	4
Option likely to generate a neutral or no impact	3
Option likely to generate a negative impact	2
Option likely to generate a strong negative impact	1

The following slide outlines the weightings applied to each criterion.



## Appendix B | Multi-criteria analysis criteria

#### MULTI-CRITERIA ANALYSIS CRITERIA

The multi-criteria analysis criteria and weightings for Magnetic Island are presented in the table below.

<b>Objective category</b>	#	Draft criteria	<b>Proposed weighting</b>
Economic development	<b>Economic development</b> 1 Potential to support sustainable economic development opportunities		15%
	2	Potential to support local job creation, skills development and/or capacity building	15%
		Total (economic development)	) 30%
Social development &	<b>At &amp;</b> 3 Promotion of community self-sufficiency and/or resilience		15%
culture	4	Protection of cultural heritage and assets	15%
		Total (social development & culture)	) 30%
Environmental	5	Extent of decarbonisation potential	25%
protection	6	Preservation of environmental, ecological and/or natural resources	15%
		Total (environmental protection)	) 40%
		TOTAL	100%



# Appendix C

Gateway assessment outputs

Gate 1: Project objectives Gate 2: Achievability Gate 3: Multi-criteria analysis

arup.com



#### SIMILAR AND COMPLEMENTARY OPTIONS

In early stages of project development, it was identified that some of the longlist options contained similarities or other complementary aspects that may enable them If, after consolidation, there were in excess of 30 options, only the 30 which had the to be 'bundled' together for final project option development purposes. highest ranking would progress to the shortlist for final project option development. As the number of final consolidated options was less than 30, all of these options As the longlist development process continued, some of these options were were deemed to have merit and were progressed to final project option.

consolidated into a single (but broader) option where this was considered the most appropriate and logical approach. Other complementary options were kept separate. The intent of this process was to enable more effective and targeted stakeholder engagement on key aspects of these options.

As part of the options assessment process options were then assessed individually through each of the Gateways. This enabled the individual merits of these options to be assessed, and a separate weighted ranking to be returned.

After options passed through Gate 3, Arup consolidated those remaining shortlisted options which were considered to be complementary, and which would benefit from being packaged together into a single final project option. Where this has occurred, it is noted in the Gate 3 assessment outputs in the following pages. Options that failed to progressed are discussed further in Appendix E.

#### **PROGRESSION TO FINAL PROJECT OPTION**

A maximum of 30 final project options were to be delivered. The output of the Gate 3 assessment was a ranked listing of the options which had progressed through Gate 2.



#### GATEWAY ASSESSMENT

Theme	ID	Title	Gate 1	Gate 2	Gate 3	Outcome
Energy	<b>E1</b>	LED cells in Council-owned streetlights	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Energy	<b>E2</b>	Pilot research trial for renewable fuels from cooking oil or biomass	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Energy	<b>E3</b>	Fuel cells using natural gas for energy generation	Pass	Fail		Discounted
Energy	<b>E4</b>	Heat recovery from compost at waste transfer station	Pass	Fail		Discounted
Energy	E5	Methane capture from upgraded Sewage Treatment Plant to flare	Fail			Discounted
Energy	<b>E6</b>	Install rooftop solar PV with battery support	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "New rooftop solar systems with battery storage"
Energy	<b>E7</b>	Solar powered A/C with no grid return for commercial systems	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Energy	<b>E8</b>	Tidal or wave generators	Pass	Fail		Discounted
Energy	<b>E9</b>	Waste to energy plant with gas boost	Pass	Fail		Discounted
Energy	<b>E10</b>	Wind turbines offshore	Pass	Fail		Discounted
Energy	<b>E11</b>	Increase solar panels installed on sea-going vessels	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Alternative fuels for boats"
Energy	E12	Community owned or partially owned microgrid	Pass	Pass	Pass	Shortlist: "Feasibility study for microgrid (whole of island)"
Energy	<b>E13</b>	Adopt best practice building code for island	Fail			Recommendation
Energy	<b>E14</b>	Cluster purchase of small-scale energy control systems	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Energy efficiency and demand management incentive scheme"
Energy	E15	Energy data loggers for energy efficiency education	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Energy efficiency and demand management incentive scheme"



#### GATEWAY ASSESSMENT

Theme	ID	Title	Gate 1	Gate 2	Gate 3	Outcome
Energy	E16	Energy efficiency education for locals and visitors	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Development and delivery of community sustainability and environmental education for residents and visitors"
Energy	E17	Existing building improvements	Pass	Pass	Pass	Shortlist: "Existing building improvements"
Energy	<b>E18</b>	LED uptake enhancing incentive scheme	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Energy efficiency and demand management incentive scheme"
Energy	<b>E19</b>	Central control system for accommodation providers on-island	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Energy	E20	Ground source heat pumps	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Energy efficiency and demand management incentive scheme"
Energy	E21	Upgrade to high efficiency AC units and refrigeration units	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Energy efficiency and demand management incentive scheme"
Energy	E22	Upgrades to solar hot water system	Pass	Pass	Pass	Shortlist: "Upgrades from electric to solar hot water systems"
Energy	E23	New rooftop solar systems with battery storage	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "New rooftop solar systems with battery storage"
Energy	E24	Magnetic Island Hydrogen Economy Pilot	Pass	Pass	Pass	Shortlist: "Magnetic Island Hydrogen Economy Pilot feasibility study"
Water	WT1	Ground water extraction via windmill	Pass	Pass	Pass	Shortlist: "Needs study for renewable energy alternative for groundwater extraction"



#### GATEWAY ASSESSMENT

Theme	ID	Title	Gate 1	Gate 2	Gate 3	Outcome
Water	WT2	Water efficiency education	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Development and delivery of community sustainability and environmental education for residents and visitors"
Water	WT3	Planting climate adapted plant and grass varieties	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Traditional owner native nursery"
Water	<b>WT4</b>	Stormwater management	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Water	<b>WT5</b>	Variable Speed Drives for water pumps	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Water	WT6	Water Tanks / Water smart package	Pass	Pass	Pass	Shortlist: "Water sensitive Island initiatives"
Water	<b>WT7</b>	Water storage dam	Pass	Fail		Discounted
Waste	WS1	Increase buying of Bioplastic/paper disposable items	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Waste	WS2	Glass crusher	Pass	Pass	Pass	Shortlist: "Glass crusher"
Waste	WS3	Green waste collection bins	Pass	Pass	Pass	Shortlist: "Feasibility assessment for green waste collection and re-use on island"
Waste	WS4	Phase out single use items	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Waste	WS5	Reduce packaging for shipments to Island	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Waste	WS6	Waste reduction	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Development and delivery of community sustainability and environmental education for residents and visitors"
Waste	WS7	Biosolids reuse as compost or fertiliser	Pass	Fail		Discounted
Waste	<b>WS8</b>	Motor home sewage dump facilities	Fail			Discounted



#### GATEWAY ASSESSMENT

Theme	ID	Title	Gate 1	Gate 2	Gate 3	Outcome
Waste	WS9	Rotary table for sorting recycling materials	Pass	Pass	Pass	Shortlist: "Rotary table for sorting recycling materials"
Waste	WS10	Upgrade of sewage treatment plant, offset with solar	Pass	Pass	Pass	Shortlist: "Solar panels with battery integration at Magnetic Island Water Recycling Facility"
Waste	WS11	Ban plastic bottle sales at cafes/ bars/ restaurants	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Waste	WS12	Surcharge for using takeaway coffee cups	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Waste	WS13	Sewage facilities for yachts/boats	Pass	Fail		Discounted
Waste	<b>WS14</b>	Plastic repurposing	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Transport	T1	Alternative fuels for boats	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Alternative fuels for boats"
Transport	T2	Education on vehicle efficiency and marine use	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Development and delivery of community sustainability and environmental education for residents and visitors"
Transport	<b>T3</b>	Efficient boat propellers upgrades	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Transport	<b>T4</b>	Efficient boat coatings	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Transport	T5	Electric bicycle rental/purchasing scheme	Pass	Pass	Pass	Shortlist: "Electric bike rental scheme"
Transport	<b>T6</b>	Reducing speed limit from 60km/h to 50km/h or 40km/hr	Fail			Discounted
Transport	<b>T7</b>	Solar powered speeding signs	Pass	Fail		Discounted
Transport	<b>T8</b>	Driverless vehicles	Fail			Discounted



#### GATEWAY ASSESSMENT

Theme	Title	Gate 1	Gate 2	Gate 3	Outcome
Transport T9	Alternative energy for marine vehicles	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Alternative fuels for boats"
Transport T10	Electric island shuttle buses	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Low emission shuttlebus"
Transport T11	Construction of continuous path networks	Pass	Pass	Pass	Shortlist: "Construction of continuous path networks"
Transport T12	Infrastructure to support fuel efficient vehicles	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Low emission shuttlebus"
Transport T13	Infrastructure upgrade (roads)	Pass	Fail	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Recommendation
Transport T14	Solar powered A/C on boats as a standalone upgrade	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Alternative fuels and energy for marine vehicles"
Transport T15	Bridge from mainland	Fail			Discounted
<b>Transport T16</b>	Ban motor homes on island	Fail			Discounted
Transport T17	Reduce number of car hire businesses	Fail			Discounted
Transport T18	Car share scheme for local community	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
<b>Transport T19</b>	Incentive schemes to reduce number of vehicles used on-island	Pass	Fail		Discounted
Transport T20	Electric tram/train tunnel	Pass	Fail		Discounted
Transport T21	Change bus fuel to natural gas	Pass	Fail		Discounted
Transport T22	Courier service for luggage for visitors	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Resilience R1	Support for tourism businesses to achieve eco-accreditation	Pass	Pass	Pass	Shortlist: "Support for tourism businesses to achieve eco- accreditation"
Resilience R2	Creek clean up	Pass	Fail		Recommendation



#### GATEWAY ASSESSMENT

Theme		Title	Gate 1	Gate 2	Gate 3	Outcome
Resilience R.	3	Plant Cyclone rated plant species	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Traditional owner native nursery"
Resilience R	4	Cyclone rating assessment	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Resilience R	5	Feral/invasive animal management plan	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Resilience R	6	Gutter guards	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Existing building improvements"
Resilience R'	7	Mosquito screens	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Existing building improvements"
Resilience R	8	Nature walking tracks	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Resilience R	9	Ongoing management of islander wellbeing	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Resilience R	10	Overall beach erosion plan	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Resilience R	11	Revegetation	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
<b>Resilience</b> R	12	Visitor number restrictions and ongoing management	Fail			Discounted
<b>Resilience</b> R	13	Whole of Island resilience plan	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Resilience R	14	Aquaculture or oyster farm	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Feasibility study for sustainable on-island food production"
Resilience R	15	On-island food production	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Feasibility study for sustainable on-island food production"
<b>Resilience</b> R	16	Cyclone shelter	Fail			Recommendation
<b>Resilience</b> R	17	Aged care facility	Fail			Recommendation
Resilience R	18	Ongoing coastal clean-ups	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Resilience R	19	Permanent Indigenous rangers for the establishment of traditional environmental	Pass	Fail		Recommendation
Resilience R	20	Traditional owner native nursery	Pass	Pass	Pass (consolidated)	Shortlist: "Traditional owner native nursery"



# Appendix D

Options shortlist

arup.com



#### SHORTLIST

The options which progressed through the Gate 3 assessment and will progress to final project option are outlined in the table below. These are grouped according to theme.

Theme	ID	Component ID	Title	Description
Energy	1	E17, R6, R7	Existing building improvements	This final project op 1. Fund building au enhancing energy ef 2. Fund the highest Effective and feasib the findings of the a roof paint and gutter purchase based on t
Energy	2	E14, E15, E18, E20, E21	Energy efficiency and demand management incentive scheme	This final project op management device /businesses to active energy demand of th on electricity bills. The electricity consumption
Energy	3	E22	Upgrades from electric to solar hot water systems	This final project op water systems over
Energy	4	E6, E23	New rooftop solar systems with battery storage	Uptake of new solar currently without so into the grid on-isla
Energy	5	E12	Feasibility study for microgrid (whole of island)	A final project optic electricity generated
Energy	6	E24	Magnetic Island Hydrogen Economy Pilot feasibility study	This final project op Magnetic Island. In local water supply;

### ARUP

ption is for a scheme to provide financial assistance for a fixed amount to:

idits (including new-builds) to establish the need for building improvements with the intent of efficiency.

priority upgrade(s).

ole retrofitting measures would seek to improve thermal comfort through passive cooling (based on audit). Existing building improvements could include air flow, insulation, glazing, heat reflective er guards. The solution should allow the consumer to determine the best technology option to the house design, orientation, etc.

ption would recommend a scheme to provide low-cost energy efficient appliances and demand es to residents and businesses on the island. Efficient appliances and tools to enable residents ely monitor and manage their energy use can have a significant impact on reducing the overall he island. Businesses and residents are also incentivised to purchase reduced-cost devices to save The intent is to reduce the overall carbon footprint of residents, visitors and businesses, of which tion is a significant contributor.

ption is to explore options for funding to subsidise residential households to switch their electric hot to solar hot water systems.

r rooftop systems with integrated battery storage for any residential or commercial properties olar that meet requirements and the energy is shared to peers within close proximity, rather than fed ind.

on for a study into the feasibility of converting Magnetic Island into a microgrid using renewable d on-island as opposed to being underwater cable fed from the mainland.

ption is for a feasibility study for the development of a hydrogen economy pilot scheme on itial pilot scheme would include demonstration size electrolyser to produce green hydrogen from and refuelling station suitable to refuel local vehicles (buses, cars, forklift etc.).



#### SHORTLIST

Theme	ID	Component ID	Title	Description
Water	7	WT1	Needs study for renewable energy alternative for groundwater extraction	This final project op alternatives for grou explore options to p
Water	8	WT6	Water sensitive Island initiatives	This project seeks to Magnetic Island, to successful strategies
Waste	9	WS10	Solar panels with battery integration at Magnetic Island Water Recycling Facility	This option seeks fu Facility. As a signific island's carbon foot wider vision to increase (indefinitely) of the
Waste	10	WS9	Rotary table for sorting recycling materials at waste facility	This final project op table at the waste tra better source separa
Waste	11	WS3,	Feasibility assessment for green waste collection and re-use on island	This final project op island. Collection op cyclone season ever or off-island for reu
Waste	12	WS2	Glass crusher	A glass crusher wou barge trips to the ma
Transport	13	Τ5	Electric bike rental scheme	This final project op infrastructure for loc Magnetic Island) the car hire alternatives
Transport	14	T10, T12	Low emission shuttlebus	Existing public tran EV or EV hybrid. T constrained and win accommodated by s

### ARUP

ption would seek funding for an investigation of the need and options for renewable energy undwater extraction. The community have suggested that windmills could be installed. It would provide a technological best fit to achieve benefits in line with project objectives.

o implement water sensitive initiatives and solutions on an integrated and community-wide scale on improve the resilience of water supply and systems locally, and inform the broader roll-out of s across Townsville.

unding for the addition of solar panels and battery storage at the Magnetic Island Water Recycling icant user of electricity in daily operations, this option has the potential to significantly reduce the print through reduced reliance on mains electricity. This scheme would be one component of a ease the island's self-sufficiency. Taken together, a key driver of this vision is the deferment third underground mains electricity cable to Magnetic Island.

ption would set the case for and identify potential funding for the installation of a rotary sorting ansfer facility. Rotary tables enable more effective and efficient sorting of recyclables to enable ation, leading to a higher recovery rate.

ption would aim to seek funding for the investigation of ways to collect and reuse green waste on ptions include green bins; periodic pick up services on nominated days (i.e. clean up before nts; or after significant weather events to collect debris etc.). Reuse options could be composting on se (e.g. public realm, food production, plant nursery).

ald enable more effective on-island management of glass waste streams and reduce the need for ainland. Crushed glass would be reused on-island in cement or for sand bank rehabilitation ption is for the development of a Magnetic Island solar electric bike rental scheme and charge point cals and visitors. This would provide a zero emission active transport solution (suited for hilly at would increase connectivity between hubs and potentially reduce the need for vehicle use and

sport on the island could be decarbonised through replacement with a low-emissions option such as The community has raised safety concerns with the current bus service due to bus size relative to the nding roads. The need has been identified for more flexible, frequent services which could be smaller 'mini'/shuttle buses.





#### SHORTLIST

Theme	ID	Component ID	Title	Description
Transport	15	T11	Construction of continuous path networks	This final project op identified but not al
Transport	16	E11, T1, T9, T14	Alternative fuels for boats	This final project op operations such as s
Resilience	17	R14, R15	Feasibility study for sustainable on- island food production	This final project op sustainable food pro- industry on the islan policy restrictions, a Reef). Formal farm a feasibility and ma potential to provide and employment.
Resilience	18	R1	Support for tourism businesses to achieve eco-accreditation	The final project op tourism businesses initiatives and poter businesses will supp
Resilience	19	WT3, R3, R20	Traditional owner native nursery	The final project op nursery structure /ir steps will be for the project planning ap supply contract with
Resilience	20	E16, WT2, WS6, T2	Development and delivery of community sustainability and environmental education for residents and visitors	This final project of separately to resider and share traditional programs and mater

### ARUP

ption will include active transport infrastructure (such as footpaths and cycling lanes) needs lready funded to support active travel.

ption would focus around possibilities for SeaLink to look at alternate fuels for their marine solar, electric or solar powered component (such as air-conditioning).

ption would involve a feasibility assessment of the potential for an ecologically sensitive and oduction industry on the island. It is understood that there was previously a commercial aquaculture nd. Consideration would be given to issues including land availability and space requirements, and technical and environmental suitability (most importantly given location on the Great Barrier ing arrangements would be commercial in nature, with this final project option seeking support for arket assessment. On-island production would enhance community self-sufficiency and has the e significant economic opportunity for the community through the development of new industries

otion will seek funding for the development of appropriate programs and materials to support local in achieving eco-accreditation. This would facilitate businesses to be recognised for sustainability ntially drive more sustainable outcomes from these businesses. Wider eco-accreditation of island port Magnetic Island's tourism "green image".

btion will set the basis for, and identify funding options for the establishment of the native plant infrastructure under an Indigenous Small Business grant (or similar). As a commercial venture, next is proponent to undertake detailed business planning to support a grant application for subsequent proval and infrastructure development. The viability of the operation could be supported by a h Townsville City Council.

ption is for the development and delivery of sustainability and environmental education, tailored nts and to visitors. The purpose is to promote the sustainable use of resources, and also to celebrate al owner knowledge. The final project option will seek funding for the development of appropriate rials to be developed in conjunction with the community and rolled out.



# Appendix E

Recommendations and discounted options

arup.com



## Appendix E | Magnetic Island option recommendations

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations are options that have not progressed through to the options shortlist, but which have merit and potentially represent areas for future consideration. These do not include options which were not supported by the community, or were found to be infeasible. Recommendations may not progress for a variety of reasons, including:

- Where work is already planned through initiatives external to the project
- Where it is considered to be out of scope of this project
- Where the required technologies are not likely to be market ready in the short- to medium-term
- Where the existence or maturity of required supply chains represent a barrier to option success

Theme	ID	Title	R
Energy	<b>E1</b>	LED cells in council-owned streetlights	T
			de
			av
Energy	<b>E2</b>	Pilot research trial for renewable fuels from cooking oil or biomas	ss R
			m
			pr
Energy	<b>E7</b>	Solar powered A/C with no grid return for commercial systems	T
			th
			up
Energy	<b>E13</b>	Adopt best practice building code for island	T
			pr
Energy	<b>E19</b>	Central control system for accommodation providers	T
		on-island	ut
Water	WT4	Stormwater management	T
			ur
			re
			D
Water	WT5	Variable Speed Drives for water pumps	T

### ARUP

• to medium-term o option success

#### ationale

brough engagement with TCC it was established that a final project option had already been eveloped and put forward to transition to LED cells. This was moved to be a recommendation to void duplication of effort

enewable fuel feedstocks are currently limited and would need to be transported to the island which hay not have a direct decarbonisation impact, however could be explored in combination with final roject option 16, Low Emission Marine Transport.

here are a few large providers that this may be applicable to. This option would need to consider ne varying operations and maintenance capability of business systems. Success would be dependent pon operational requirements and reliability needs.

This was considered to be an external policy consideration outside the jurisdiction and scope of this roject.

here are few providers large enough to warrant consideration and the decarbonisation impact is nclear. This is considered to be a commercial decision for consideration by these businesses.

There is currently no storm water management on-island. Stormwater management processes are nder the jurisdiction of TCC, and therefore considered out of scope for this project. This ecommendation could be considered in combination with final project option 17 Water Smart Demonstration Community

his option has already been advanced by TCC through a final project option.



## Appendix E | Magnetic Island option recommendations

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Theme	ID	Title	R
Waste	WS1	Increase buying of bioplastic/paper disposable items	
Waste	WS4	Phase out single use items	
Waste	WS5	Reduce packaging for shipments to island	
Waste	WS11	Ban plastic bottle sales at cafes/ bars/ restaurants	to
Waste	<b>WS12</b>	Surcharge for using takeaway coffee cups	W
Waste	WS14	Plastic repurposing	
Transport	Т3	Efficient boat propellers upgrades	T
			UI
Transport	<b>T4</b>	Efficient boat coatings	T
			uı
Transport	<b>T13</b>	Infrastructure upgrade (roads)	R
			O
			uj
			be
Transport	<b>T18</b>	Car share scheme for local community	T
			fi
			SU
Transport	<b>T22</b>	Courier service for luggage for visitors	T
			de

### ARUP

#### ationale

These options would form part of a 'Plastic Free Places' initiative, which is a collection of strategies of reduce use of and waste from single-use plastics in a given area. As TCC is already progressing with the 'Plastic Free Places' initiative for the region, these options are excluded from the shortlist.

This is considered to be a private commercial decision for relevant businesses to undertake. It is nderstood SeaLink recently upgraded propellers as a pilot for some marine craft.

This is considered to be a private commercial decision for relevant businesses to undertake. It is nderstood SeaLink recently applied new coatings as a pilot trial for some marine craft.

toad upgrades are considered outside of the scope of this project, and belong under the jurisdiction f Council and the Department of Transport and Main Roads. The decarbonisation benefits of pgrades upon reduced road roughness (and therefore fuel consumption) were also not considered to e significant in this context.

This is considered to be a voluntary, community-driven measure which does not suit the format of a inal project option. Other existing services such as taxis and ride-share apps may already provide a uitable platform for this scheme.

This does not align with core project objectives. This is considered to be a private commercial ecision for businesses to make.



## Appendix E | Magnetic Island option recommendations

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Theme	ID	Title	R
Resilience	R2	Creek clean up	It ar
Resilience	<b>R4</b>	Cyclone rating assessment	T
Resilience	<b>R5</b>	Feral/invasive animal management plan	Tl
Resilience	<b>R8</b>	Nature walking tracks	T
			W
Resilience	<b>R9</b>	Ongoing management of islander wellbeing	T1
Resilience	<b>R10</b>	Overall beach erosion plan	It
			(v
Resilience	<b>R11</b>	Revegetation	It
			pr
			pl
Resilience	<b>R13</b>	Whole of island resilience plan	It
			01
		~	ar
Resilience	<b>R16</b>	Cyclone shelter	T
			d1
Resilience	<b>R17</b>	Aged care facility	T
Resilience	<b>R18</b>	Ongoing coastal clean-ups	It
			ar
Resilience	<b>R19</b>	Permanent Indigenous rangers for the establishment of traditional	Sł
		environmental knowledge sharing.	go
			re
			su

### ARUP

#### ationale

is understood that creek and coastal clean-ups are undertaken on a semi-regular basis by volunteers nd community groups.

his is outside of the scope of this project.

This is outside of the scope of this project.

This is under the jurisdiction of Council, Transport and Main Roads and Queensland Parks and Vildlife Service. A program of works to review and progress walking tracks is currently underway. This is outside of the scope of this project.

was determined that this would form one part of an overarching resilience plan for the island which has been idnetified as a policy recommendation). This is outside of the scope of this project. was determined that there is minimal land available to revegetate. The plant nursery option has rogressed to final project option #3 (Establishment of a Native Plant Nursery) to support small scale lant requirements.

was determined that a range of component activities and plans would come together to form an verarching resilience plan, many of which are being led by other agencies. This has been elevated as n overarching policy recommendation.

his is considered to be out of the scope of the project, and should form part of State or Council-level isaster preparedness and mitigation activities.

This is outside of the scope of this project.

is understood that creek and coastal clean-ups are undertaken on a semi-regular basis by volunteers nd community groups.

hould additional Ranger or community education programs be considered needed by State or Local overnment, it is recommended that it include indigenous cultural ranger programs. This

ecommendation could link with final project options recommended on neighboring Palm Island., uch as #8 Indigenous Ranger Program.



## Appendix E | Magnetic Island discounted options

### **DISCOUNTED OPTIONS**

Other options put forward by the community and stakeholders were assessed, but ultimately not determined to constitute a viable final project option or recommendation. These discounted options are presented in the table below. An option may have been discounted for reasons including:

- Low levels of community support for the option
- The strong likelihood that an option would be infeasible in a technological, technical or physical sense
- The strong likelihood that an option would consume or divert significant resources from other critical uses

Theme	ID	Title	Rati
Energy	<b>E3</b>	Fuel cells using natural gas for energy generation	This o
			energ
Energy	<b>E4</b>	Heat recovery from compost at waste transfer station	Consi
			for su
Energy	E5	Methane capture from upgraded Sewage Treatment Plant to	Due t
		flare	unlike
Energy	<b>E8</b>	Tidal or wave generators	There
			solar)
Energy	<b>E9</b>	Waste to energy plant with gas boost	This o
			Magn
Energy	<b>E10</b>	Wind turbines offshore	There
			solar)
			Reef
Water	<b>WT7</b>	Water storage dam	There
			for ad
Waste	<b>WS7</b>	Biosolids reuse as compost or fertiliser	Town
			have
Waste	<b>WS8</b>	Motor home sewage dump facilities	Surve
			motor
Waste	WS13	Sewage facilities for yachts/boats	Suffic

#### onale

option would not provide a significant decarbonisation benefit in comparison with renewable y generation options such as solar or hydrogen.

idered to be a technically complex approach to energy generation. The physical space requirements ich a system are also likely to be infeasible given the capacity of the transfer station.

to existing Townsville City Council processes, it was advised that further sludge digestion is ely to be viable.

was a low level of community and stakeholder support for this option, and other technologies (i.e. are considered to provide greater value for money and reliability.

option is technically and legislatively complex. The location and feedstock availability do not make netic Island a feasible location for this project.

was a low level of community and stakeholder support for this option, and other technologies (i.e. are considered to provide greater value for money and reliability. Impacts to the Great Barrier would need to be considered.

was not strong community support for this option, and issues were raised regarding the potential lverse environmental impacts.

nsville City Council have previously progressed pilot projects reusing biosolids in the region but no current plans for wider implementation of their strategy to Magnetic Island.

y findings indicated a low level of community support for this option, and the total number of rhomes on the island is generally quite low.

cient public pump out facilities exist for boats at Nelly Bay.



## Appendix E | Magnetic Island discounted options

#### **DISCOUNTED OPTIONS**

Theme	ID	Title	Rati
Transport	<b>T6</b>	Reducing speed limit from 60km/h to 50km/h or 40km/hr	This i
Transport	<b>T7</b>	Solar powered speeding signs	Record or Tra
Transport	<b>T8</b>	Driverless vehicles	This o
Transport	T15	Bridge from mainland	This o
Transport	<b>T16</b>	Ban motor homes on island	This o
Transport	<b>T17</b>	Reduce number of car hire businesses	This o
Transport	<b>T19</b>	Incentive schemes to reduce number of vehicles used on-island	This o
Transport	<b>T20</b>	Electric tram/train tunnel	This o
Transport	T21	Change bus fuel to natural gas	This i profit It is n or hy
Resilience	R12	Visitor number restrictions and ongoing management	This o

### ARUP

#### onale

is in the jurisdiction of Council or Transport and Main Roads and outside of project scope.

mmended for new signage in locations remote to mains power. This is in the jurisdiction of Council ansport and Main Roads and outside of project scope.

option did not align with core project objectives and did not have community support.

option did not align with core project objectives and did not have community support.

option did not align with core project objectives and was not considered a feasible policy position.

option did not align with core project objectives and was not considered a feasible policy position.

option did not align with core project objectives and was not considered a feasible policy position.

option was not considered to be viable nor represent value for money.

is considered to be a commercial decision by the bus owner and/or operator as it relates to tability after costs.

not considered to provide a significant decarbonisation impact in comparison with conversion to EV drogen.

option did not align with core project objectives and was not considered a feasible policy position.





## We shape a better world